# Assessment Framework for PICOs submitted by Specialist Societies

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Which area of clinical Practice does the PICO relate to?  | Therapy/Diagnosis/Prognosis/Aetiology |
| 2. | Is the PICO aligned with RCOG priorities?  | Yes/No |
| 3. | Is the Research Question clear (have acronyms been explained and can a layman understand the proposal)? | Yes/No |
| 4.  | Is there an overlap with more than 1 specialist Society? | Yes/No |
| 5.  | Is this a real-world clinical question? | Yes/No |
| 6. | Is the PICO suited to answering the clinical question being asked? | Yes/No |
| 7.  | Are there concepts and relationships are not adequately captured by the PICO representation? *(If yes please list these in the box below)* | Yes/No |
| 8. | Which Funding Bodies is this PICO suited to (name all that apply)?  |  |
| 9. | Should the committee Support this PICO?  | Yes/No |
| 10. | Has this clinical Question been previously considered by the RCOG? | Yes/No |
| About the Components of the PICO |
| 11. | Is the population clear? | Yes/No |
| If no please state how this can be improved:  |
| 12. | Is the intervention clear? | Yes/No |
| If no please state how this can be improved: |
| 13. | Is the comparator clear? | Yes/No |
| If no please state how this can be improved: |
| 14. | Is the outcome clear?  | Yes/No |
| If no please state how this can be improved: |
| 15. | Is the setting clear? | Yes/No |
| If no please state how this can be improved: |

Concepts and relationships not adequately captured by the PICO representation include: